

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/821,981 04/12/2004	Seth Orlow	ORLOW1A	3666		
1444 7590 02/20/20 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.	EXAM	EXAMINER			
624 NINTH STREET, NW	HANDY, NIKKI R				
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1616	1616		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE		
31 DAYS	02/20/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	· ·	Application No.	·	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/821,981	10/821,981 ORLOW ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Nikki Handy		1616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sl	heet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN COMMENT OF THE MAILING IS IN CO	B DATE OF THIS COM R 1.136(a). In no event, however riod will apply and will expire SIX atute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	nication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 193	35 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	,			•		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideratio					
Applicati	on Papers		4				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in a rection is required if the di	abeyance. See rawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been receive ents have been receive riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application been receive).	on No d in this National	Stage		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Pap 5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (per No(s)/Mail Dat iice of Informal Pa er:	te	`		

Application/Control Number: 10/821,981

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, which are drawn to a method for screening a test compound selected from the group consisting of trisubstituted triazines and agents, classified in class 514, subclass 243.
- II. Claims 4-5, which are drawn to a method for decreasing pigmentation in a subject, comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of at least one trisubstituted triazine compound that inhibits pigmentation, classified in class 514, subclass 243.
- III. Claims 6-9, which are drawn to a method for increasing pigmentation in a subject comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of at least one compound selected from the group consisting of trisubstituted triazine compounds, oligomycin and derivatives thereof and aurovertin and derivatives thereof which stimulate pigmentation and compounds that interact with prohibitin, classified in class 214, subclass 243.
- IV. Claims 10-15, which are drawn to a composition for increasing pigmentation, classified in class 514, subclass 243.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the

Art Unit: 1616

different inventions have different modes of operation and effects. Invention I is drawn to a method for screening a test compound selected from the group consisting of trisubstituted triazines and agents. On the other hand, Invention II is drawn to a method for decreasing pigmentation in a subject, comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of at least one trisubstituted triazine compound that inhibits pigmentation. Hence, the inventions are unrelated.

Inventions I, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are different in effects. While Invention I is drawn to a method for screening a test compound selected from the group consisting of trisubstituted triazines and agents, Invention III and IV are drawn to a method and composition to increase pigmentation. Therefore, the Inventions are different in effects hence the Inventions are unrelated.

Inventions II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are different in effects. While Invention II is drawn to a method for screening a test compound selected from the group consisting of trisubstituted triazines and agents, Inventions III and IV are drawn to a method and composition to increase pigmentation. Therefore, the Inventions are different in effects hence the Inventions are unrelated.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,981

Art Unit: 1616 '

Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. Orlow et al., in WO 01 1131, describe screens for identifying compounds that inhibit or increase melanogenesis in melanogenic cells based upon the discovery that some compounds inhibit melanogenesis by causing a mislocalization of tyrosinase, the key enzyme in melanin synthesis as evidenced on page 8 in the instant specification.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Art Unit: 1616

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Attorney Anne Kornbau on January 26, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikki Handy whose telephone number is (571) 272-9923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nikki Handy Patent Examiner Art Unit 1616

> Johann Richter, Ph. D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600